### U.S. PATENT APPLICATION

#### **FOR**

# OPTICAL ISOLATOR, ATTENUATOR AND POLARIZER SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR INTEGRATED OPTICS

Inventors: Au; Chi (Union City, CA)

Chu; Tzu-Yih (San Jose, CA)

Yin; Hao (San Jose, CA)

Lee, Edward W. (Mountain View, CA)

Ma; Abraham C. (Fremont, CA)

ASSIGNEE: SUPER TALENT LIGHT CORP.

2077 N. CAPITOL AVENUE

SAN JOSE, CA 95132

SILICON VALLEY IP GROUP P.O. Box 721120 SAN JOSE, CA 95172

## OPTICAL ISOLATOR, ATTENUATOR AND POLARIZER SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR INTEGRATED OPTICS

#### FIELD AND BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to optics, and more particularly to optical isolators.

#### **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

An optical isolator is a 1x1 unidirectional connector. It allows light to travel along a path in one direction only but not in the reverse direction within an optical system.

Optical isolators are typically employed in bulk optical systems to eliminate one of two counter-propagating electro-magnetic light waves. An optical isolator is comparable with a diode having a low electrical resistance for the forward current from its input to its output and a very high resistance for the reverse current from its output to its input. Analogous, a forward light wave, fed via the optical input port of an optical isolator to its output port, is guided with low loss, and a reverse light wave, i.e. a light wave being fed to the isolator's optical output port, is attenuated such that only a very small amount thereof leaves the isolator via its input port. Such an optical isolator has a unidirectional transmittance property, and cuts off most of the light fed back into its output port.

The "non-reciprocity principle" may be applied to such optical devices to achieve the required isolation. The non-reciprocity principle refers to the fact that an optical signal transmits only in the forward direction but not in reverse. An ideal optical isolator is based on this non-reciprocity principle.

A Faraday rotator is one prior art optical isolator, which employs a magnetic-optic element as a non-reciprocal component. Unfortunately, such prior art optical isolator exhibits many difficulties. Not only is its function polarization dependent, but it is also difficult to be integrated with other optical devices.

#### **DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION**

An optical system and associated method are provided. Included is a first branch capable of allowing light to pass therethrough in a forward direction and a reverse direction. The first branch includes a first medium with a first refractive index  $(n_1)$ , and a first end and a second end. Also included is a second branch capable of allowing light to pass therethrough in the forward direction. The second branch includes a second medium with a second refractive index  $(n_2, \text{ with } n_2 < n_1)$ , and a first end and a second end. The second end of the second branch is further coupled to the first branch to form an angle  $(\vartheta_2)$ . In use,  $\vartheta_1 \ge \sin^{-1} (n_2/n_1)$  to utilize the total reflection principle to prevent the light passing through the first branch in the reverse direction from passing into the second branch, where  $\vartheta_1$  is the incident angle of the light passing in the reverse direction from the first branch to the second branch.

In some embodiments, the first branch and the second branch may be components of a Y-junction, a K-junction, and/or an X-junction.

As an option, the first branch may include an optical absorber for absorbing the reverse light that is prevented from passing into the second branch by the total reflection. In another embodiment, an optical choker may be positioned at one of the ends of one of the branches for increasing isolation.

In use, the isolation provided between the first branch and the second branch is polarization independent. As an option, a numerical aperture of one of the ends of one of the branches may be lowered for increasing the isolation. Moreover, a transmitting area of one of the branches may be decreased for increasing the isolation. Still yet, an

optical choker may be positioned at one of the ends of one of the branches for increasing the isolation.

In various embodiments, the optical system may function as an optical isolator and/or an optical attenuator. Optionally, both the first branch and the second branch may have a substantially rectangular cross-section.

Still yet, the first branch and the second branch may be components of a first optical isolator. Optionally, a wavelength selector may be coupled to the optical isolator to form a de-multiplexer.

Further, a second optical isolator may be integrated with the first optical isolator. Thus, an optical coupler may be formed. When the optical coupler is formed, such may optionally function as an add-multiplexer, an optical inserter or a polarization beam combiner. Moreover, the optical coupler may include a Y-splitter.

In another embodiment, the first optical isolator and the second optical isolator may be integrated with a third optical isolator to form an optical circulator with 3 ports. In the same manner, an N-port optical circulator can be built. An optional wavelength selector may also be coupled to the circulator to form a de-multiplexer.

Each of the foregoing embodiments may be made of a very simple structure and have numerous optional features: ruggedness, superb thermal and environmental properties, premium stability and reliability, and excellent optical performance that are independent of polarization. Moreover, they may be easily integrated with other optical and opto-electronic devices. Each of them may be built with one or a combination of the waveguide, optical fiber, micro-optic, and photonic crystal technologies.

Moreover, the optical system may be designed to function as a polarizer.

#### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

Figs. 1a, 1b, 1c, 1d, 1e, 1f, 1g and 1h each show an optical isolator/attenuator of one embodiment.

Figs. 1i and 1j show a modified output port of Figs. 1a and 1b.

Figs. 2a and 2b show the optical coupler/inserter/add-multiplexer/polarization beam combiner of one embodiment.

Fig. 3 represents an optical circulator of one embodiment.

Fig. 4 exhibits a 2x2 optical coupler with isolation among its inputs and outputs.

Fig. 5 depicts an optical polarizer of one embodiment.

Figs. 6a and 6b illustrate an optical de-multiplexer of one embodiment.

Fig. 7 displays the magnified Y-junction of branches 14 and 15 in Fig. 1a.

Fig. 8 illustrates an optical choker of one embodiment.

#### **Description of the Preferred Embodiments**

Figs. 1a, 1b, 1c, 1d, 1e, 1f, 1g and 1h each show an optical isolator/attenuator of one embodiment. Specially, each optical isolator/attenuator represents an optical device with a pair of ends. In the context of the present description, such ends may be any termination or intermediate reference points. For example, such ends may include an input port 18 and an output port 19.

Optical isolators 301a, 301c and 301e of Figs. 1a, 1c and 1e, respectively, comprise a Y-junction coupler made from two branches 14 and 15 of different refraction indices. In the context of the present description, a branch may refer to any medium capable of allowing light to pass therethrough.

Optical isolators 301b, 301d, 301f of Figs. 1b, 1d and 1f, respectively, comprise a K-junction. Moreover, optical isolators 301g, 301h of Figs. 1g and 1h, respectively, comprise an X-Junction. Then an extra port (i.e. port 21) is available for monitoring output (i.e. port 19) as well as for feedback control of these optical isolators.

At the Y-junction of the optical isolators 301a, 301c, 301e (or K-or X-Junction in the other figures), the refraction index  $(n_1)$  of the branch 15 is greater than that  $(n_2)$  of the branch 14. An optical unidirectional Y-junction (K- or X- Junction) coupler may then be constructed using the total reflection principle. This ensures that light travels only in the forward direction. Incoming light from port 18 travels through branch 14, reaches branch 15 and through it to exit port 19. And for the reverse direction, light entering from port 19 passes through branch 15, meets branch 14 with incident angle  $\vartheta_1$  (cf. Fig. 7), but is blocked by the total reflection phenomenon because the condition of  $\vartheta_1 \ge \sin^{-1}(n_2/n_1)$  is met. Thus, light cannot get into branch 14 but stays in branch 15. In the end,

it either exits from port 22 or is consumed by an optional absorber 17. The following examples are estimations made based on the plane wave approximation:

#### Example 1

Case 1)

For **Figs. 1a** and **1b**: given the refraction indexes  $n_1 = 1.465$ ,  $n_2 = 1.460$ ,  $n_3 = 1.455$  and  $\theta_2 = 85.30^{\circ}$ , then the calculated insertion loss is ~0.13dB with ILp = 0.129dB and ILs = 0.133dB. The polarization dependent loss (i.e. ILs - ILp) is ~0.004dB and the isolation is ~9dB.

Case 2)

For **Figs. 1c** and **1d**: given the refractive indexes  $n_1 = 1.465$ ,  $n_{1c} = 1.460$ ,  $n_2 = 1.4625$ ,  $n_{2c} = 1.4575$  and  $9_2 = 86.70^\circ$ , then the calculated insertion loss is  $\sim 0.13 \text{dB}$  with ILp = 0.133 dB and ILs = 0.136 dB. The polarization dependent loss is  $\sim 0.003 \text{dB}$  while the isolation is also  $\sim 9 \text{dB}$ .

Thus, the isolation is polarization independent (ILp  $\approx$  ILs). However, the isolation of  $\sim$ 9dB is below expectation. In Case 1 of Example 1 above, although with ideally parallel incident light, the numerical aperture of the output light NA(n<sub>1</sub>, n<sub>3</sub>) is 0.171 at the output port 19 while the other numerical apertures are: NA(n<sub>2</sub>, n<sub>3</sub>) = 0.121, NA(n<sub>1</sub>, n<sub>2</sub>) = 0.121. Since NA (n<sub>1</sub>, n<sub>3</sub>) is greater than NA (n<sub>1</sub>, n<sub>2</sub>), a portion of the reverse light from Port 19 is able to get into port 18. This is because the incident angle of some reverse light  $\vartheta_1$  (as in Fig. 7) is smaller than the total reflection critical angle  $\vartheta_{1c}$ , causing the isolation to be low. The resultant isolation is dependent on the effectiveness of the total

reflection for the reverse light. The better the effectiveness of the total reflection for the reverse light, the higher the isolation.

One optional way to achieve higher isolation is to lower the numerical aperture of the output port 19. Additional optical output ports 301i and 301j are illustrated by Fig. 1i and 1j respectively. For the optical output port 301i, calculations indicate that for 97% of the output light, the numerical aperture has dropped to 0.121 (NA(n<sub>2</sub>, n<sub>3</sub>)). For the other 3%, the numerical aperture is still 0.171 (NA(n<sub>1</sub>, n<sub>3</sub>)). Removing this 3% of output light decreases the NA to 0.121, but it increases the insertion loss by 0.13dB while the area of the output light is enlarged. For the optical output port 301j, the optimal calculations generated essentially the same results but with an output area that is larger than that of 301i. Although the output numerical aperture has been lowered, the isolation is not improved since the extra reverse light due to the enlarged area of port 19 is getting into port 18.

One can use an X-Junction to lower the numerical aperture of the output port 19 (see, for example, Fig. 1g). The following examples are pertinent to the embodiment of Fig. 1g.

#### Example 2

For **Fig. 1g**: given the refraction indexes  $n_1 = 1.500$ ,  $n_{1c} = 1.4958$ ,  $n_2 = 1.450$   $n_{2c} = 1.4457$ ,  $\theta_2 = 85^\circ$  and  $\theta_1 = 76^\circ$ , the calculated insertion loss are ILp = 1.24dB and ILs = 1.39dB. The polarization dependent loss is ~0.15dB, the numerical aperture of the output port 19 is low and thus the isolation greatly increases to ~12dB.

Another way of improving isolation for 301a, 301b, 301c, 301d, 301e and 301f is to decrease the transmitting area of the reverse light from branch 15 to branch 14. If it is

reduced to one half, the isolation increases by 3dB, and by 6dB if it is reduced to one fourth. And if all the reverse light were reflected at the junction of branches 15 and 14, then the isolation becomes perfect. Therefore, one may add an optical choker 1 to port 18, 19, as shown in Figs. 1e, 1f and 1h. The optical choker 1 serves two purposes: (1) it lowers the numerical aperture NA, for example from 0.171 to 0.1 or even 0.01 (2) it converges the light to the center part of branches 14 and 15. The resultant optical isolators 301e, 301f and 301h of Figs. 1e, 1f and 1h have much better optical performance and still can be easily cascaded and integrated.

Optical isolators 301a, 301b, 301c, 301d, 301e, 301f, 301g and 301h may also function as an optical attenuator. Light coming in from port 18 exits from port 19 with a fixed amount of attenuation. See Example 3:

#### Example 3

In the context of Example 1, if the input light numerical aperture NA was 0.12 and  $\theta_2 = 85^{\circ}$ , the attenuation of both the p- and s-polarization are  $\approx 4.3 \text{dB}$  and their difference is almost zero (0.009dB).

Therefore, the attenuation is polarization independent. Calculations indicate that the smaller the angle  $\vartheta_2$ , the larger the attenuation. The attenuation can be varied with the changing incident angle  $\vartheta_2$ . Thus, a variable optical attenuator is also feasible.

Optical isolator 301a, 301b, 301c, 301d, 301e, 301f, 301g and 301h, based on the total reflection principle, have a very simple structure and result in numerous improvements: its ruggedness, its superior thermal and environmental properties, its exceptional stability and reliability, and its excellent polarization independent optical performance. Moreover, it is easily integrated with other optical and opto-electronic devices. These qualities make it

suitable to construct other optical devices such as a coupler, inserter, polarization beam combiner, circulator, add-multiplexer, and de-multiplexer.

To optimize the optical isolators 301a, 301b, 301c, 301d, 301e, 301f, 301g and 301h, the shape of the Y-, K- or X-Junction may be changed. Different refractive index  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  of branches 15 and 14 may be obtained (from different dielectric materials or the same dielectric material with different effective refractive index from tapered shape). The refractive index may not necessarily be constant, but rather be a function of position x, y, and z. The cross section of branches (waveguide or optical fibers, etc.) may, in one embodiment, be square or rectangular.

Thus, one embodiment is based on the non-reciprocal phenomenon of total reflection and can be polarization independent and ideally suited for integration. According to Snell's law of optical refraction, total reflection occurs when light propagates from an optically denser medium (with a higher refractive index  $n_1$ ) into another less dense medium (with a lower refractive index  $n_2$ ), and the incident angle  $\theta_1$  exceeds the critical value  $\theta_{1C}$ , that is  $\theta_1 \ge \theta_{1C} = \sin^{-1} (n_2/n_1)$ .

As a result, all the incident light is reflected back into the first medium, and the transmitted light is totally blocked. Since  $n_1$  is greater than  $n_2$ ,  $n_2$  must not be greater than  $n_1$ . Thus, the total reflection is non-reciprocal and it occurs only when light travels from  $n_1$  medium into  $n_2$  medium, but never occurs for the reverse direction from  $n_2$  medium into  $n_1$  medium. Utilizing total reflection, one may build optical isolators 301a, 301b, 301c, 301d, 301e, 301f, 301g and 301h. Any combination of suitable branches could constitute as the optical transmission path and can be easily integrated with other opto-electronic devices (e.g. semiconductor devices).

Figs. 2a and 2b represent an optical coupler 302a and 302b built by cascading a series of optical isolators 301e, 301f and 301h together (for simplification, the K- and X-Junction type and the optical chokers 1 are not shown). Lights from input ports 18, 20 travel to output port 19. Shown are 2x1 couplers with isolation function. Optical coupler 302a and 302b may also be used as polarization beam combiner with isolation because of their polarization independent performance. Optical couplers 302a and 302b also work as add-multiplexer to add an extra channel of signal  $(\lambda_j)$  from port 20 to an existing channel of signals  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2...)$  from input port 18 without interfering each other, and outputs all signals  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2...\lambda_j)$  from port 19. An Nx1 add-multiplexer may be built using the same approach. Moreover, optical couplers 302a and 302b also work as an optical inserter (with isolation) for an optical fiber amplifier device with the optical signal connected to port 18, the pump laser connected to port 20, and the output port 19 connected to a fiber amplifier (not shown).

Fig. 3 depicts an optical circulator 303 built with three optical isolators 301e, 301f, and 301h without absorbers (again for simplification, the K- and X-Junction type and the optical chokers 1 are not shown). Light entering at port 28 travels along and leaves at the next port 29. In a similar manner, light from port 29 may exit port 30, and so on. The circulator 303 is also polarization independent. Optical circulators with 4 or more ports can be built in the same way.

Fig. 4 shows a 2x2 optical coupler 304. It comprises a 2x1 optical coupler 302a (or 302b) and a Y-splitter. Light coming from ports 18 and 20 travels to the output ports 19 and 21. Shown is a 2x2 optical coupler with isolation among the input ports and output ports. In principle, an NxM optical coupler with isolation may even be built.

Fig. 5 illustrates a polarizer 305 with an input port 18, an output port 19 and an optional absorber 17. See Example 4:

#### Example 4

Given  $n_1 = 4.25$ ,  $n_{1c} = 4.248$ ,  $n_2 = 1.460$ ,  $n_{2c} = 1.455$ ,  $\vartheta_2 = 71.04^\circ$ , and  $\vartheta_1 = 19^\circ$ , the resulting attenuation of the p-polarization light is  $A_p = 2.2 \times 10^{-9}$  dB whereas attenuation of the s-polarization is  $A_s = 4.2$  dB at port 21.

The attenuation is therefore very much polarization dependent. Input light from port 18 with intensity (p, s), where p is the intensity of p-polarization and s is the intensity of s-polarization respectively, travels through the branch 14 to reach the junction. On one hand, it refracts into branch 15 and continues on to exit port 21 with intensity (p, 0.38s). On the other hand, it reflects into branch 13 and continues on to output port 19 with intensity (0, 0.62s). Port 19 is the output port of the polarized light.

The insertion loss of the polarizer 305 is low at 2.07dB. Consequently, it does not have good isolation. But, an optical isolator 301a, 301b, 301c, 301d, 301e, 301f, 301g and 301h can be integrated to build a polarizer 305 with good isolation.

Fig. 6a exhibits a de-multiplexer 306a. It consists of an isolator 301e (301f or 301h) but without the absorber 17, and a wavelength selector 61. The wavelength selector 61 may be a band pass filter or a Bragg Gratings (with fiber or waveguide technology). Light signals with wavelength  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3...)$  coming from input port 18 travel through the output port 19 to reach the wavelength selector 61. Then, the selected wavelength (e.g.  $\lambda_1$ ) is reflected and exits from port 22. The other signals with wavelength  $(\lambda_2, \lambda_3...)$  are transmitted through the selector 61 without loss.

Similarly, Fig. 6b displays a de-multiplexer 306b. It includes a circulator 303 and a wavelength selector 61. Optionally, the add-multiplexers 302a and 302b and de-

multiplexers 306a and 306b can be cascaded together to construct an add-/drop-multiplexer (not shown).

Fig. 7 is a magnified Y-junction of branches 14 and 15 of the optical isolator 301a. The incident ray of light 34 passes through the isolator 301a. On its way, it is reflected r<sub>21</sub> and refracted  $t_{21}$  at  $B_0$ , again reflected  $r_{13}$  and refracted  $t_{13}$  at  $C_1$ , and then again at  $B_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $B_2$ ,... and so on according to the Fresnel's formulae. For the most intensity of the incident light 34 to pass from the branch 14 into the branch 15,  $2h\tan\theta_1 > d$  may be satisfied, where d and h are the width of branches 14 and 15 respectively. The transmission coefficient is  $t_{ij} = 2p_i/(p_i + p_j)$ , the reflection coefficient is  $r_{ij} = (p_i - p_j)/(p_i + p_j)$  $p_j$ ) where  $p_i = n_i/\cos\theta_i$  for the TM wave,  $p_i = n_i\cos\theta_i$  for the TE wave and i, j = 1, 2, 3for medium  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$ ,  $n_3$ . Then, the transmissivity of region I is  $T = p_3|t|^2/p_1$  where t = $t_{21}t_{13}/(1-r_{13}{}^2e^{i2\beta})$ . The phase difference of the adjacent transmitted lights  $\mathbf{E}_1$  and  $\mathbf{E}_2$  is  $2\beta$ =  $(4\pi h n_1 cos \vartheta_1)/\lambda_0$  where  $\lambda_0$  is the light wavelength in vacuum. If  $\vartheta_2 \ge \vartheta_{2c} = \sin^{-1}(n_3/n_1)$  $n_2$ ), internal total reflections occur at  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  ...  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$  ... and  $p_3$  becomes a pure imaginary number. Hence the time average of the transmissivity T is zero. Similarly, the time average of the reflectivity  $R(R_1, R_2, ...)$  is also zero with the exception of  $R_0$  =  $\mathbf{r_{21}}^2$ . Since the energy flux of  $\mathbf{R_0}$  can be very small and it almost always escapes into  $n_3$ medium, it does not add to the reflection loss of the optical isolator.

Fig. 8 illustrates an optical choker 800 of one embodiment.

In another embodiment, a computer program operates on a set of input parameters and desired output parameters associated with an optical system under design. Given such inputs, the computer program calculates and simulates the optical system, optimizes it so the resultant outputs meet the desired conditions such as the power, isolation, insertion loss, polarization, polarization dependent loss, return loss, etc. Optionally, the

various concepts and equations set forth hereinabove may be incorporated into the computer program for being calculated accordingly.

While various embodiments have been described above, it should be understood that they have been presented by way of example only, and not limitation. Thus, the breadth and scope of a preferred embodiment should not be limited by any of the above-described exemplary embodiments, but should be defined only in accordance with the following claims and their equivalents.